# Novel heptasubstituted triphenylene discotic liquid crystals

Sandeep Kumar,\* M. Manickam,† Sanjay K. Varshney, D. S. Shankar Rao and S. Krishna Prasad

Centre for Liquid Crystal Research, P.O. Box 1329, Jalahalli, Bangalore, 560013, India. E-mail: uclcr@giasbg01.vsnl.net.in

Received 18th July 2000, Accepted 9th August 2000 First published as an Advance Article on the web 25th September 2000 **CHEMISTRY**<br>CHEMISTRY

JOURNAL OF

The synthesis and characterisation of several hepta-substituted triphenylene derivatives are reported. These unsymmetrically substituted triphenylene derivatives are of three varieties, i) with seven identical peripheral alkoxy chains, ii) two or three out of the seven alkoxy chains have different chain lengths and, iii) the mode of the attachment of two of the peripheral chains is different, *i.e. via* ester linkages. The heptaalkoxytriphenylenes were prepared by two different routes, either starting from monohydroxypentakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes or via a biphenyl route using either  $MoCl<sub>5</sub>$  or FeCl<sub>3</sub> as oxidants. Unsymmetrical substitution has a large effect on the thermal behaviour but the type of mesophase formed does not change. Photomicroscopic pictures of all the 21 liquid crystalline compounds reported here show classical textures of an ordered hexagonal columnar  $(Col<sub>h</sub>)$ phase. X-Ray diffraction studies of five representative compounds confirmed the above conclusions. Mixed ether-ester derivatives have smaller core-core separations and higher correlation length, therefore, are better candidates for charge transport studies.

## Introduction

Triphenylene with symmetrically substituted six peripheral chains can make excellent discotic liquid crystals (LCs) which show great promise in electronic devices.<sup>1</sup> The potential applications of triphenylene discotic LCs has led to intense activity in their synthesis and various new methods have been developed.<sup>2</sup> Recently, several efforts have also been made to prepare unsymmetrical and functionalized triphenylene derivatives to modify the physical and electronic properties. $2^{-12}$ Whereas the synthesis of symmetrically substituted hexakis- (alkoxy)triphenylenes by oxidative trimerisation of 1,2-dialkoxybenzenes is quite easy, the synthesis of well defined unsymmetrically substituted derivatives is not straight forward. Breaking of symmetry in a hexakis(alkoxy)triphenylene can be achieved in different ways, e.g., by changing the chain length of some of the side chains, by changing the nature of one or more side chains or by low and high degree of substitution.

While triphenylene derivatives with six alkoxy chains are mesomorphic, triphenylenes having less than six alkoxy chains are nonmesomorphic but can be made mesomorphic by putting other substituents in the periphery.<sup>5a-d</sup> Triphenylene derivatives with more than six peripheral alkoxy chains have not been well explored. Recently, in a preliminary communication.<sup>5g</sup> we have reported a novel approach to the synthesis of heptakis- (alkoxy)triphenylene discotic liquid crystals. Here we wish to report the preparation, characterization and X-ray studies of different heptakis-substituted triphenylene derivatives in detail.

## Experimental

## General information

Chemicals and solvents (AR quality) were used without any purification. Column chromatographic separations were performed on silica gel (230–400 mesh) and neutral aluminium oxide. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on

{Present address: School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, UK B15 2TT.

aluminium sheets precoated with silica gel (Merck, Kieselgel 60, F254). Mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL J600H spectrometer in  $FAB^+$  mode using an *m*-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) matrix. Elemental analysis was provided by Onida Research Services, Inc., USA, Atlantic Microlab, Inc., USA and RRI, India. NMR spectra were recorded on a 200 MHz machine (Bruker) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. All chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  units downfield from Me<sub>4</sub>Si, and J values are given in Hz. Transition temperatures were measured using a Mettler FP82HT hot stage and central processor in conjunction with a Leitz DMRXP polarizing microscope as well as by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC7 Perkin-Elmer). X-Ray studies were performed using an image plate detector (MAC Science DIP1030).<sup>13</sup> Unoriented samples contained in sealed Lindemann glass capillaries were irradiated with Cu-K $\alpha$ rays obtained from a sealed-tube generator (Enraf-Nonius FR 590) in conjunction with double mirror focusing optics. The synthesis of different triphenylene derivatives is outlined in Schemes 1, 2 and 3. 2-Hydroxy-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(alkoxy) triphenylenes  $1a$ -f were prepared as reported.<sup>5g</sup>

### Synthesis of 3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(alkoxy)triphenylene-1,2 diones  $(2a-f)$

To a solution of appropriate 2-hydroxy-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis- (alkoxy)triphenylene  $(1a-f)$  (1.0 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 ml), a solution of ammonium cerium nitrate (2.0 mmol in 10 ml acetonitrile) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. The reaction mixture was worked-up by addition of  $H_2O$  and extraction with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel and the product was isolated by eluting with hexanedichloromethane mixture to yield black 3,6,7,10,11-pentakis- (alkoxy)triphenylene-1,2-diones  $(2a-f)$  in 85-90% yield.

**2a**: MS:  $m/z$  550.0; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.91 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 4.14 (m, 10H), 1.89 (m, 10H) and 1.05 (m, 15H).

**2b**: MS:  $m/z$  620.2; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.91 (s, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 4.20 (m, 10H), 1.89 (m, 10H), 1.55 (m, 10H) and 1.05 (m, 15H).

DOI: 10.1039/b005773h J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 2483-2489 2483

This journal is  $\odot$  The Royal Society of Chemistry 2000

**2c**: MS:  $m/z$  690.2; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.98 (s, 1H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 4.20 (m, 10H), 1.95 (m, 10H), 1.48 (m, 20H) and 0.98 (m, 15H).

**2d**: MS:  $m/z$  758.0; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.97 (s, 1H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 10H), 1.94 (m, 10H), 1.4 (m, 30H) and 0.93 (m, 15H).

**2e**: MS:  $m/z$  830.1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.93 (s, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 10H), 1.94 (m, 10H), 1.47 (m, 40H) and 0.91 (m, 15H).

**2f**: MS:  $m/z$  900.1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.88 (s, 1H), 7.60 (s, 2H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 4.15 (m, 10H), 1.94 (m, 10H), 1.4 (m, 50H) and 0.90 (m, 15H).

## Synthesis of 1,2-diacetoxy-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(alkoxy)triphenylene  $(3a-f)$

To a solution of appropriate  $o$ -quinone (2a–f) (1 mmol) in acetic anhydride (4 ml) and triethylamine (2 ml), was added Zn powder (650 mg, 10 mmol) and the reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered from the inorganics and the filtrate was poured into cold water (20 ml) and extracted with diethyl ether  $(3 \times 20 \text{ ml})$ . Combined extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, the solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel. The column was eluted with hexane-dichloromethane to yield a homogeneous solid which was crystallised from ethanol in 90-95% yield.

**3a**: MS:  $mlz$  634.0; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.49 (s, 1H), 7.84 (3) unresolved s, 3H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 4.16 (m, 10H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.95 (m, 10H), and 1.1 (m, 15H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  169.4, 167.8, 150.2, 149.4, 149.0, 148.8, 148.4, 140.9, 132.2, 128.9, 125.0, 124.8, 122.8, 122.3, 117.1, 111.1, 108.5, 107.5, 107.1, 103.7, 71.5, 71.1, 71.0, 70.5, 22.8, 22.6, 21.0, 20.3, 10.6; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{37}H_{46}O_9$ , C 70.01, H 7.30: found, C 70.00, H 7.35%.

**3b**: MS:  $m/z$  704.9; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 10H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.92 (m, 10H), 1.60 (m, 10H) and 1.03 (m, 15H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 168.0, 167.8, 150.1, 149.3, 148.9, 148.7, 148.4, 140.9, 132.2, 128.9, 124.9, 124.7, 122.7, 122.2, 117.1, 110.8, 108.3, 107.2, 106.7, 103.8, 69.6, 69.2, 69.1, 68.7, 31.5, 31.4, 31.3, 31.2, 21.0, 20.3, 19.3, 19.2, 13.9; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{42}H_{56}O_9$ , C 71.56, H 8.00: found, C 71.30, H 7.86%.

**3c**: MS:  $mlz$  774.6; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 10H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.88 (m, 10H), 1.47 (m, 20H) and 0.97 (m, 15H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{47}H_{66}O_9$ , C 72.84, H 8.58: found, C 72.88, H 8.70%.

3d: MS:  $m/z$  844.1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.47 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 10H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.92 (m, 10H), 1.56-1.37 (m, 30H) and 0.95 (m, 15H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{52}H_{76}O_9$ , C 73.90, H 9.06: found, C 74.11, H 9.55%.

3e: MS:  $m/z$  914.3; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.47 (s, 1H), 7.82 (3) unresolved s, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.18 (m, 10H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.91 (m, 10H), 1.6±1.3 (m, 40H) and 0.91 (m, 15H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{57}H_{86}O_9$ , C 74.80, H 9.47: found, C 74.84, H 9.37%.

**3f**: MS:  $mlz$  984.6; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.47 (s, 1H), 7.82 (3 unresolved s, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.16 (m, 10H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.91 (m, 10H), 1.6±1.3 (m, 50H) and 0.88 (m, 15H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{62}H_{96}O_9$ , C 75.57, H 9.82: found, C 75.21, H 9.80%.

#### Synthesis of 1,2,3,6,7,10,11-heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes; (4a-n)

In a typical procedure, powdered KOH (8 mmol) was mixed with DMSO (5 ml) and stirred at room temperature for 10 min. One mmol of diacetate 3a-f was added followed by alkyl

2484 J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 2483-2489

bromide (4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 50 $-55$  °C and then worked up by addition of water and extraction with diethyl ether. The crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with hexaneethyl acetate to yield  $(4a-n)$  in 80–90% yield.

1,2,3,6,7,10,11-Heptakis(propyloxy)triphenylene; 4a. MS: m/z 634.4; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.22 (s, 1H), 7.83 (two unresolved s, 3H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 4.16 (m, 12H), 3.99 (t, 2H), 1.94 (m, 14H) and 1.08 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{39}H_{54}O_7$ , C 73.78, H 8.57: found, C 73.50, H 8.71%.

1,2,3,6,7,10,11-Heptakis(butyloxy)triphenylene; 4b. MS:m/z 732.1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  9.22 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.20 (m, 12H), 4.01 (t,  $J=7.1$ , 2H), 1.88 (m, 14H), 1.56 (m, 14H) and 0.99 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for C46H68O7, C 75.37, H 9.35: found, C 75.11, H 9.93%.

1,2,3,6,7,10,11-Heptakis(pentyloxy)triphenylene; 4c. MS: m/z 830.3; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.20 (m, 12H), 4.01 (t, J~7.1, 2H), 1.83 (m, 14H), 1.49 (m, 28H) and 1.02 (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  151.7 × 2, 149.6, 148.8, 148.5, 148.1, 142.0, 126.5, 124.6, 124.0, 123.8, 123.4, 118.2, 111.9, 108.4, 107.1 × 2, 101.8, 74.0, 70.0, 69.6, 69.3, 68.8, 31.9, 30.3, 30.2, 29.2, 28.4, 22.5, 14.1; Elemental anal: calcd for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>82</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, C 76.58, H 9.93: found, C 76.30, H 9.86%.

1,2,3,6,7,10,11-Heptakis(hexyloxy)triphenylene; 4d. MS:m/z 928.4; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.82 (two unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.13 (m, 12H), 3.97 (t, 2H), 1.94 (m, 14H), 1.6±1.2 (m, 42H) and 1.08 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{60}H_{96}O_7$ , C 77.54, H 10.41: found, C 77.34, H 10.58%.

1,2,3,6,7,10,11-Heptakis(heptyloxy)triphenylene; 4e. MS: m/z 1026.1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.82 (two unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.21 (m, 12H), 3.97 (t, 2H), 1.94 (m, 14H), 1.6-1.2 (m, 56H) and 0.90 (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 151.862, 149.7, 148.9, 148.6, 148.2, 142.1, 126.6, 124.7, 124.1, 123.9, 123.5, 118.3, 112.0, 108.6,  $107.3 \times 2$ , 102.0, 74.1, 70.1, 69.8, 69.7, 69.4, 69.0, 31.9, 30.7, 30.6, 29.6, 29.4, 29.2, 26.2, 22.7, 14.1; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{67}H_{110}O_7$ , C 78.31, H 10.79: found, C 78.13, H 10.91%.

1,2,3,6,7,10,11-Heptakis(octyloxy)triphenylene; 4f. MS:  $m/z$ 1125.2; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.82 (two unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.12 (m, 12H), 3.97 (t, 2H), 1.93 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.2 (m, 70H) and 0.89 (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  151.8 × 2, 149.7, 148.9, 148.6, 148.2, 142.1, 126.6, 124.7, 124.1, 123.9, 123.5, 118.3, 112.0, 108.6,  $107.3 \times 2$ , 102.0, 74.1, 74.0, 70.1, 69.8, 69.7, 69.4, 69.0, 31.9, 30.7, 30.6, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 26.3, 22.7, 14.1; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{74}H_{124}O_7$ , C 78.96, H 11.00: found, C 78.94, H 10.84%.

1,2-Bis(dodecyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(propyloxy)triphenylene; 4g. MS:  $mlz$  886.1; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.22 (s, 1H), 7.83 (two unresolved s, 3H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 4.12 (m, 12H), 3.97 (t, 2H), 1.98 (m, 14H), 1.26 (m, 36H), 1.15 (m, 15H) and 0.88 (t, 6H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{57}H_{90}O_7$ , C 77.16, H 10.21: found, C 76.96, H 10.00%.

1,2-Bis(propyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(butyloxy)triphenylene; 4h. MS:  $mlz$ ; 704.3; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.83 (3 unresolved s, 3H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 3.99 (t, 2H), 1.94 (m, 14H), 1.88-1.55 (m, 10H) and 1.05 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{44}H_{64}O_7$ , C 74.96, H 9.15: found, C 74.84, H 9.37%.

1,2-Bis(pentyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(butyloxy)triphenylene; 4i. MS:  $m/z$  760.5; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.83 (2 unresolved s, 3H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 3.97 (t, 2H), 1.95 (m, 14H), 1.8±1.25 (m, 18H) and 0.98 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{48}H_{72}O_7$ , C 75.75, H 9.54: found, C 75.40, H 9.86%.

#### 1,2-Bis(hexyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(butyloxy)tripheny-

lene; 4j. MS:  $m/z$  788.5; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.83 (2 unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 4.0 (t, 2H), 1.9 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.2 (m, 22H), 1.0 (m, 15H) and 0.88 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 151.7 × 2, 149.6, 148.8, 148.5, 148.2, 142.0, 126.5, 124.6, 124.0, 123.8, 123.5, 118.2, 112.0, 108.4,  $107.2 \times 2$ , 101.8, 74.1, 69.7, 69.4, 69.1, 68.5, 31.8, 31.5, 30.6, 25.9, 25.8, 22.7, 19.4, 13.9; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{50}H_{76}O_7$ , C 76.10, H 9.71: found, C 76.01, H 9.79%.

1,2-Bis(heptyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(butyloxy)triphenylene; 4k. MS:  $mlz$  816.4; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.83 (2 unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 4.0 (t, 2H), 1.9 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.2 (m, 26H), 1.0 (t, 15H) and 0.88 (m, 6H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{52}H_{80}O_7$ , C 76.43, H 9.87: found, C 76.21, H 9.98%.

1,2-Bis(butyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(pentyloxy)tripheny-

lene; 4l. MS:  $m/z$  802.6; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.82 (2 unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 4.0 (t, 2H), 1.9 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.3 (m, 18H) and 1.0 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{51}H_{78}O_7$ , C 76.27, H 9.79: found, C 76.00, H 9.92%.

#### 1,2-Bis(hexyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(pentyloxy)tripheny-

lene; 4m. MS:  $m/z$  858.7; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.82 (2 unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 4.0 (t, 2H), 1.9 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.2 (m, 32H) and 0.97 (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 151.762, 149.6, 148.8, 148.5, 148.1, 142.0, 126.5, 124.6, 124.0, 123.8, 123.5, 118.2, 111.8, 108.4,  $107.1 \times 2$ , 101.8, 74.1, 70.0, 69.7, 69.3, 68.9, 31.9, 30.6, 29.2, 28.4, 25.9, 25.8, 22.6, 14.1; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{55}H_{86}O_7$ , C 76.88, H 10.08: found, C 76.44, H 9.96%.

1,2-Bis(decyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(octyloxy)triphenylene; **4n.** MS:  $m/z$  1181.8; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.82 (2) unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 4.0 (t, 2H), 1.9 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.2 (m, 78H) and 0.89 (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 151.862, 149.7, 148.9, 148.6, 148.2, 142.1, 126.6, 124.7, 124.1, 123.9, 123.6, 118.3, 112.0, 108.6, 107.3 × 2, 102.0, 74.1, 70.1, 69.8, 69.7, 69.4, 69.0, 31.9, 30.7, 30.6, 29.8, 29.6, 29.4, 26.3, 22.7, 14.1; Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{78}H_{132}O_7$ , C 79.27, H 11.26: found, C 79.33, H 11.27%.

### Synthesis of 1,2-bis(alkanoyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(alkoxy) triphenylenes;  $(5a-c)$

3,6,7,10,11-Pentakis(alkoxy)triphenylene-1,2-diones (2a-f) (0.3 mmol) were taken in 2 ml of dry deoxygenated pyridine. Zn powder (3 mmol) and the corresponding acid chloride (3 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h under argon. It was then poured over ice-water and extracted with ether  $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$ . the combined ether extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the resultant crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel eluting with hexane-dichloromethane mixture. The TLC pure white materials (5a-c) were crystallised with absolute alcohol in 50-60% yield.

1,2-Bis(butanoyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(butoxy)triphenylenes; 5a. MS:  $m/z$  760.5; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 7.8 (3) unresolved s, 4H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 10H), 2.70 (t, 2H), 2.61 (t, 2H), 1.92 (m, 14H), 1.55 (m, 10H) and 1.05 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{46}H_{64}O_9$ , C 72.60, H 8.48: found, C 72.32, H 8.65%.

1,2-Bis(pentanoyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(pentyloxy)triphenylenes; 5b. MS:  $m/z$  858.7; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 7.8 (3) unresolved s, 4H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 10H), 2.72 (t, 2H), 2.63 (t, 2H), 1.92 (m, 14H), 1.5 (m, 24H) and 0.98 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{53}H_{78}O_9$ , C 74.09, H 9.15: found, C 74.20, H 9.41%.

## 1,2-Bis(octanoyloxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(octyloxy)tri-

phenylenes; 5c. MS:  $m/z$  1152.8; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.47 (s, 1H), 7.8 (3) unresolved s, 4H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 4.16 (m, 10H), 2.71 (t, 2H), 2.62 (t, 2H), 1.92 (m, 14H), 1.7-1.2 (m, 66H) and 0.90 (m, 21H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 170.8, 170.6, 150.2, 149.5, 149.0, 148.9, 148.5, 141.0, 132.4, 128.9, 125.0, 124.8, 123.0, 122.5, 117.3, 111.4, 108.6, 107.5, 107.1, 103.7, 70.1, 69.7, 69.6, 69.0, 34.6, 34.1, 31.9, 31.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 26.3, 26.2, 25.2, 25.0, 22.7, 14.1; Elemental anal: calcd for C<sub>74</sub>H<sub>120</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, C 77.04, H 10.47: found, C 76.87, H 10.36%.

#### Synthesis of heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes by the oxidative coupling method

A. Using MoCl<sub>5</sub>. The reaction was carried out following the previously reported method.<sup>5e</sup> MoCl<sub>5</sub> (400 mg, 1.47 mmol) was added to a solution of 3,4,3',4'-tetrakis(butyloxy)biphenyl 6 (200 mg, 0.45 mmol) and 1,2,3-tris(butyloxy)benzene 7 (400 mg, 1.36 mmol) in 5 ml dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  with or without concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  (0.4%). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for one hour under nitrogen. The reaction was worked-up by the addition of 2 ml of cold MeOH follwed by water (20 ml) and extraction with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel and characterised by spectral analysis as well as by direct comparison with the authentic sample. In both cases (with or without concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  acid), the yield was almost the same (45%).

B. Using FeCl<sub>3</sub>. The reaction was carried out as above but with anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> instead of MoCl<sub>5</sub> and the same product was isolated in 40% yield.

### Synthesis of mixed unsymmetrical heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes using the biphenyl route; synthesis of 8b

Anhydrous  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  (240 mg, 1.47 mmol) was added to a solution of 3,4,3',4'-tetrakis(butyloxy)biphenyl 6 (200 mg, 0.45 mmol) and 1,2,3-tris(pentyloxy)benzene 7b (400 mg, 1.2 mmol) in 5 ml dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  containing 0.4% concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for one hour under nitrogen and worked-up as above. Purification of the crude produce by column chromatography yielded the 1,2,3-tris- (pentyloxy)-6,7,10,11-tetrakis(butyloxy)triphenylene, 8b in  $38\%$  yield. MS:  $mlz$  774.4; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) (9.21 (s, 1H), 7.83 (2 unresolved s, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 4.19 (m, 12H), 4.0 (t, 2H), 1.9 (m, 14H), 1.8±1.25 (m, 20H) and 1.0 (m, 21H); Elemental anal: calcd for  $C_{49}H_{74}O_7$ , C 75.93, H 9.62: found, C 75.59, H 9.79%.

## Results and discussion

In order to reduce the melting point, the concept of reducing symmetry is adopted by several workers with the basic idea that if the molecular symmetry is reduced, then the molecules would pack less favourably in the crystal state and, therefore, the melting point will decrease. The symmetry of a discoid molecule can be reduced by different ways, such as core asymmetric substitution, for example, putting 5 or 7 peripheral chains in a triphenylene molecule, or side chains asymmetry by attaching alkyl chains of different length in the periphery or by changing the mode of the attachment of the peripheral chains, e.g., mixed ether-ester derivatives. Tinh et al. prepared several

J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 2483-2489 2485

disymmetrical hexasubstituted triphenylenes with different alkyl chains in the periphery using a statistical approach to understand the effects of unsymmetrical chains in a triphenylene molecule. They found that an introduction of dissymmetric side-chains does not affect the nature of the Col<sub>h</sub> phase<br> $\frac{14}{14}$ . This but results in the reduction of the mesophase stability.<sup>14</sup> This statistical approach to prepare unsymmetrical triphenylene derivatives gives a mixture of products which is very difficult to separate. An easy route to unsymmetrically substituted triphenylenes was recently reported using the so-called biphenyl route.<sup>3</sup> Well-defined synthesis of unsymmetrical and low degree substituted triphenylenes has also been reported recently by using organometallic chemistry.<sup>12</sup> When one out of the six ether side chains in hexakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes was replaced by an ester group, the stability of the mesophase was enhanced significantly.<sup>15</sup> A plastic columnar discotic phase is reported in these types of unsymmetric triphenylene derivatives.<sup>8</sup> In another approach to prepare unsymmetrical triphenylenes and to induce molecular dipole, colour, etc., nitration and halogenation of hexakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes were performed.<sup>3, $\bar{\delta}$ </sup> While the nitro or halogen group in these triphenylene derivatives could not be replaced by an alkylthio group by nucleophilic aromatic substitution, $6$  the reduction of the nitro group followed by acylation with various acid chlorides yielded "seven tail" triphenylene discotics.<sup>3d</sup> However, because of the presence of the amide group, the clearing temperatures of these derivatives are high.

We prepared the heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes by two different methods. Oxidation of the 2-hydroxy-3,6,7,10,11 pentakis(butyloxy)triphenylenes  $1a-f$  (Scheme 1) with various oxidising agents such as chromium trioxide, nitric acid and ceric ammonium nitrate yielded the ring oxidised products 3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(alkoxy)triphenylene-1,2-diones 2a-f. Reductive acetylation of these  $o$ -quinones with zinc and acetic anhydride in triethylamine results in the formation of diacetates 3a-f. These diacetates were directly alkylated with various alkyl halides to produce symmetrical (having all the 7 chains identical) or unsymmetrical (two peripheral chains are different) heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes 4a-n in very high yield (Scheme 1).

The heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes can also be prepared by phenyl-biphenyl coupling. Thus, when tetrakis(alkoxy)biphenyls 6 were reacted with tris(alkoxy)benzenes under oxidative coupling conditions using either  $MoCl<sub>5</sub>$  or  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$ , heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes formed in moderate yields (Scheme 2). Similarly, unsymmetrical heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes can also be prepared using the tetrakis(alkoxy)biphenyl and tris(alkoxy)benzene derivatives having different chains. However, it should be noted that the unsymmetrical heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes prepared from these two routes are different. The o-quinone route gives a unsymmetrical heptakis(alkoxy) triphenylene where two out of seven chains are different while the biphenyl route gives a product in which three out of seven chains are different. Reductive esterification of the  $o$ -diquinone with various acid chlorides yields 1,2-bis(alkanoyloxy)- 3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes (Scheme 3). These mixed esters-ethers cannot be achieved from the biphenyl route as the phenyl-biphenyl coupling works only with highly electron rich precursors.

#### Thermal behaviour

The thermal behaviour of all the compounds was investigated by polarizing microscopy and by differential scanning calorimetry. In the case of materials which were mesomorphic, classical textures of columnar mesophases appeared upon cooling from the isotropic liquid. These textures are very similar to the known textures for the Col<sub>h</sub> phases. Data obtained from the heating and cooling cycles of DSC are collected in Table 1. The peak temperatures are given in  ${}^{\circ}C$  and

2486 J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 2483-2489



Scheme 1 Synthesis of heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes.

the numbers in parentheses indicate the transition enthalpy  $(\Delta H)$  in kJ mol<sup>-</sup> .

All the heptakis-substituted triphenylene derivatives can be subdivided into three groups: (a) where chain length of all the seven peripheral tails is same and they are connected via ether linkages (compounds  $4a-4f$ ), (b) where all the seven tails are connected through ether linkages as above but the length of two or three tails is different (compounds  $4g-4n$  and 8b), and (c) where two of the peripheral chains are connected to the core via ester linkages and the other five via ether linkages  $\frac{1}{2}$ (compounds 3a–3f, 5a–5c).

In group (a), the shortest chain member, *i.e.*, heptakis(propyloxy)triphenylene is non liquid crystalline. It melts at about 92 °C on heating and crystallizes at  $71$  °C on cooling the isotropic melt. This is similar to the hexakis(propyloxy)triphenylene derivative which has a melting point of  $177\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  (this compound has recently been reported to be liquid crystalline on



Scheme 2 Synthesis of heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes via the biphenyl route.



Scheme 3 Synthesis of mixed ether-ether heptakissubstituted triphenylenes.

the basis of miscibility tests,<sup>16</sup> however it has not been proved unambiguously). The decrease in melting point is expected because of the extra propyloxy chain. The higher homologues of heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylene, like hexakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes, are liquid crystalline. The melting and clearing temperatures do not alter significantly through the series. Highly reversible behavior is observed at the clearing point of all the compounds and only a very small hysteresis is observed over many heating and cooling cycles. Conversely, the melting transition was found to be irreversible. Upon cooling, all mesophases supercool to room temperature. None of the members of the series show any sign of crystallization upon keeping at room temperature for a long period. Therefore, it was necessary to use solvent crystallized materials to get the melting points. If the materials are heated above the melting points, they stay in the mesophase indefinitely. All the members of group (a) show two clear transitions on first heating. The first transition with a large  $\Delta H$  in compounds 4b, 4d, 4e and 4f corresponds to crystal to melting transition. However, the enthalpy of this transition in compound 4c is much less indicating that this could be perhaps a transition from a softsolid to the mesophase. The second transition in all the compounds is from the mesophase to isotropic liquid. On cooling from the isotropic liquid, compound 4c as well as all other members of the group show only one transition corresponding to isotropic liquid to Colh phase which is stable down to room temperature. Efforts have not been made to see transitions below the room temperature. Compared to hexakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes,<sup>2</sup> both the melting and clearing temperatures of heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes are very low. This could be due to the presence of the extra alkoxy chain and the steric hindrance caused by this chain.

In the mixed ethers group (b), increasing the size of two peripheral chains does not induce liquid crystallinity if the other chains are too short. Thus, compound 4g with five propyloxy and two dodecyloxy chains, shows crystal to isotropic transition at  $50.3 \degree C$  on heating and upon cooling it crystallises at room temperature. When five chains of a heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylene are of four carbon atoms which is essential for triphenylene derivatives to show mesomorphism, and the remaining two are of three carbon atoms, the compound 4h shows a monotropic columnar phase. Compound 4h shows crystal to isotropic transition at  $74.3 \degree$ C on heating but on cooling it comes to a columnar mesophase at about 59  $\degree$ C that crystallizes at about 48  $\degree$ C. When the size of the two alkoxy chains is more than the remaining five alkoxy chains, there is a gradual decrease in the melting and clearing temperatures. Thus, bis(pentyloxy)pentakis(butyloxy)triphenylene 4i shows the melting transition at  $58.7^{\circ}$ C and the clearing transition at  $69.3^{\circ}$ C. Compound 4j having bis(hexyloxy) chains and five butyloxy chains has melting and clearing transitions at 56.2 and 59.1  $\degree$ C respectively while compound 4k with bis(heptyloxy) and pentakis(butyloxy) chains is a room temperature liquid crystalline material which precipitated out in the liquid crystalline form in organic solvents. It transforms from mesophase to isotropic phase at  $47.6\,^{\circ}$ C. Compounds 4l, 4m and 4n show similar behavior. Their melting and clearing temperatures are given in Table 1. Compound 8b which has three pentyloxy and four butyloxy chains shows a melting transition at  $60.6\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  and clearing transition at  $73.5\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Similar to group (a) members, mesophases formed by group (b) members also supercool to room temperature and do not crystallize upon keeping at room temperature for a long period. In the mixed ether-ester series, two types of materials were

prepared; one having two of the shortest chain esters, i.e.

Table 1 Phase transition temperatures (peak temp.) and enthalpies of heptakis-substituted triphenylene derivatives. Cr=crystal,  $Col<sub>h</sub>$ =hexagonal columnar liquid crystalline phase,  $Col_x = A$  yet to be identified columnar phase, I = isotropic

	Thermal transitions $\sqrt{C}$ and enthalpy changes /kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> (in parentheses)			
Compound	Heating scan	Cooling scan I 71.6 $(29.0)$		
4a	Cr 92.3 (38.2) I			
4b	$Cr$ 65.8 (43.1) $Colh$ 70.1 (4.6) I	I 67.5 (5.9) $Colh$		
4c	$Cr$ 45.7 (13.8) $Col_h$ 75.0 (6.0) I	I 72.9 (6.1) $Colh$		
4d	Cr 44.6 (39.4) Col <sub>h</sub> 69.5 (3.7) I	I 67.3 (3.6) $Colh$		
4e	$Cr$ 46.6 (66.8) Col <sub>h</sub> 71.8 (5.5) I	I 69.8 (5.0) Col <sub>h</sub>		
4f	$Cr$ 44.3 (36.6) $Colh$ 68.7 (4.3) I	I 67.2 (4.3) $Colh$		
4g	$Cr$ 50.3 (68.1) I	I $\sim$ 30 Cr		
4h	$Cr$ 74.3 (29.8) I	I $(58.9)(5.2)$ Col <sub>h</sub> 47.8 (20.3) Cr		
4i	Cr 58.7 (40.2) Col <sub>h</sub> 69.3 (5.2) I	I 67.1 (5.2) $Colh$		
4j	Cr 56.2 (46.6) Col <sub>h</sub> 59.1 (2.4) I	I 57.7 (3.5) $Colh$		
4k	$Colh$ 47.6 (3.6) I	I 45.8 (3.4) $Colh$		
41	$Cr$ 47.9 (35.7) $Col_h$ 70.5 (4.9) I	I 68.9 (5.7) $Colh$		
4m	$Cr$ 47.6 (47.3) $Col_h$ 68.6 (3.1) I	I 65.2 (3.0) $Colh$		
4n	$Colh$ 63.9 (5.1) I	I 62.8 (4.4) $Colh$		
3a	$Cr$ 152.0 (35.4) I	I 92.1 $(9.7)$ Cr		
3 <sub>b</sub>	Cr 122.3 (21.3) I	I 87.2 (4.7) Col/Cr		
3c	Cr 88.1 (1.6) Col <sub>h</sub> 105.7 (9.6) I	I 101.5 (6.3) $Colh$		
3d	$Cr$ 85.6 $Colh$ 90.0 I	I 86.2 (5.9) Col <sub>h</sub>		
3e	Cr 72.9 (12.9) Col <sub>h</sub> 90.6 (7.9) I	I 87.4 $(7.8)$ Col <sub>h</sub>		
3f	$Cr$ 57.8 (15.5) $Colh$ 88.7 (7.7) I	I 85.5 (6.8) $Colh$		
5a	$Col_x$ 97.4 (4.0) $Col_h$ 121.1 (14.4) I	I 118.8 (14.3) Col <sub>h</sub> 94.2 (0.8) Col <sub>x</sub>		
5b	$Colb$ 129.1 (13.8) I	I 127.2 (13.6) $Colh$		
5c	Cr 55.5 (20.6) Col <sub>b</sub> 121.7 (9.3) I	I 120.2 (8.9) Col <sub>h</sub>		
8b	$Cr$ 60.6 (22.6) $Col_h$ 73.5 (4.4) I	I 71.1 (4.9) $Colh$		

acetate, and five alkoxy chains (compounds  $3a-3f$ ) and the other having the same size of ether and ester chains (compounds  $5a-5c$ ). Compound  $3a$  (1,2-diacetoxy)-3,6,7,10,11-pentakis(propyloxy)triphenylene) on first heating, gives only one peak in the DSC at 152.0 °C with a large  $\Delta H$ , corresponding to a transition from the crystalline solid state to the isotropic liquid state. Upon cooling from the isotropic liquid it starts crystallizing at about  $92^{\circ}$ C. These crystals melt at about  $99^{\circ}$ C on subsequent heating. Compound 3b, on heating, gives a crystal to isotropic transition at  $122.3 \degree C$ . On cooling from the isotropic liquid it comes to a metastable  $Col<sub>b</sub>$ phase at about  $87^{\circ}$ C which crystallizes slowly over a long period of time. The higher homologoues 3c-3f show a weak transition prior to the mesophase to isotropic transition but this transition is not discernible on cooling or on second heating. All these materials appear as a viscous mass (soft solid) at room temperature but do not show definite textures. Therefore, it is difficult to say that they stay in a liquid crystalline phase at room temperature. The first weak transition could be a soft-solid to mesophase transition and this may be the reason for a low  $\Delta H$  for this transition than the usual crystal to mesophase transition. The pentakis(pentyloxy) diacetyl 3c has this weak transition at  $88.1\degree C$  and the mesophase to isotropic transition at  $105.7 \degree C$ . Upon cooling it gives only one broad peak at  $101.5\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  for the isotropic to mesophase transition and on subsequent heating it gives only one peak at  $105.7 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  for mesophase to isotropic transition. Compound 3d shows two broad peaks which are not well separated at 85.6 and  $90.0\degree$ C with total enthalpy of the transition  $11.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . On cooling from the isotropic liquid, it comes to a columnar phase at  $86.2^{\circ}$ C which stays down to room temperature. On second heating it gives only one mesophase with an isotropic transition peak at 91.0 °C. Compound 3e shows two transitions at 72.9 °C ( $\Delta H$ , 12.9 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and at 90.6 °C ( $\Delta H$ , 7.9 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) on first heating and only one at 87.4 °C ( $\Delta H$ , 7.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) on cooling and at 91.6 °C ( $\Delta H$ , 7.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) on second heating indicating that the soft solid changes to  $Col<sub>h</sub>$  phase at 72.9 °C and which transforms into the isotropic liquid at  $90.6\,^{\circ}$ C. On cooling the isotropic liquid, the Col<sub>h</sub> phase appeared at 87.4  $\rm{°C}$  which is stable until room temperature. Compound 3f shows similar behavior and gives one very broad peak at 57.8  $\degree$ C and another at 88.7 °C on first heating. The Col<sub>h</sub> phase appeared at 85.5 °C on cooling.

Mixed ethers-esters with same chain length (compound 5a– c) have relatively higher isotropic temperatures. When the peripheral chains are of four carbon atoms (compound 5a), a transition from a highly ordered, yet to be identified,  $Col_x$ phase to  $Col_h$  phase is observed at 97.4 °C. The  $Col_h$  phase goes to an isotropic phase at  $121.1 \degree C$ . Both these transitions are reversible. The Col<sub>h</sub> phase appeared at 118.8 °C on cooling and changes to the Col<sub>x</sub> phase at 94.2 °C. The higher homologue 5b shows only one transition in the DSC corresponding to the mesophase to isotropic liquid at 129.1 °C. The Col<sub>h</sub> phase which appears at  $127.2 \degree$ C on cooling, stays until room temperature. On the other hand, compound 5c melts at 55.5  $\degree$ C and clears at 121.7  $\degree$ C. On cooling the columnar phase stays down to ambient temperature.

#### X-Ray diffraction studies

For samples 4c, 4e, 4k, 5b and 5c measurements were carried out at room temperature. A representative diffraction pattern obtained for the compound 5b and its one-dimensional intensity vs.  $2\theta$  profile obtained by integrating over the entire  $\chi$  (0-360°) range, are shown in Fig. 1. Qualitatively similar patterns were seen for the other compounds. In the low-angle region, four sharp peaks  $\sim$  one very strong and three weak reflections are seen whose  $d$ -spacings are in the ratio of  $1:1/$  $\sqrt{3}$ :  $1/\sqrt{4}$ :  $1/\sqrt{7}$ . Identifying the first peak with the Miller index





Fig. 1 (a) X-Ray diffraction pattern obtained for compound 5b at room temperature. (b)  $\chi$ -averaged one-dimensional intensity vs. 2 $\theta$ profile. Four sharp peaks at low angles whose d-spacing values are in the ratio of 1:  $1/\sqrt{3}$ :  $1/\sqrt{4}$ :  $1/\sqrt{7}$  confronting to a two-dimensional hexagonal lattice. The first diffuse peak at  $2\theta \sim 20^\circ$  is from the aliphatic chains, while the fairly sharp peak at higher angles is due to the stacking of the rigid cores within a column giving a core-core separation of  $3.52 \text{ Å}.$ 

100, the ratios conform to the expected values from a twodimensional hexagonal lattice. In the wide-angle region two diffuse reflections are seen. The broad one centered at about 4.3 Å corresponds to the liquid-like order of the aliphatic chains. The relatively sharper one seen at higher  $2\theta$ -values and well separated from the broad one is due to the stacking of the molecular cores one on top of another. As this is a diffuse peak it suggests that the stacking of the discs within each column is correlated over short distances only. All the above mentioned features are characteristic of the  $Col<sub>h</sub>$  phase.

Table 2 summarizes the different spacings extracted by fitting each of the peaks in the one-dimensional profile to a Lorentzian form. Also shown is the correlation length for the intra-columnar packing arising out of the core-core interaction. It has been calculated using the FWHM of the sharper peak in the wide angle region and the Scherrer expression.<sup>17</sup> For the sake of comparison, we have also given the data for two hexakis-substituted triphenylenes, viz., hexakis(pentyloxy) (H5TP) and hexakis(heptyloxy) (H7TP) triphenylenes obtained at  $10^{\circ}$ C below the clearing point. For further discussion, we will divide the compounds for which X-ray studies have been made, into two groups: the ethers and the esters.

Table 2 Transition enthalpy  $\Delta H$  for the Col<sub>h</sub> to isotropic transformation, the disc diameter  $d_{100}$ , the core=core separation and the correlation length for the intra-columnar order in seven chain compounds

Compound	$\Delta H$ / $kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$	$d$ -spacing numbers / $\overline{A}$			
		$d_{100}$	$d_{\rm core-core}$	$d_{\rm alkyl}$ chain	Correlation length/Å
4c	6.0	19.43	3.62	4.3	95
4e	5.5	21.91	3.61	4.36	92
4k	3.6	18.56	3.71	4.28	47
5b	13.8	19.70	3.52	4.28	147
5c	9.3	23.48	3.49	4.34	189
H5TP	8.2	19.00	3.54	4.55	125
H7TP	5.0	20.87	3.55	4.48	86

a) The ethers. The five compounds which belong to this group are the two hexakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes H5TP and H7TP and their seven chain counterparts, 4c and 4e, and the compound 4k, which is a mixed chain material. Comparing the inter-columnar distance or equivalently the disc diameter, we see that the heptakis(alkoxy)triphenylenes with all the seven chains identical have a larger diameter than the corresponding six chain materials. The difference in the disc diameter between the corresponding heptakis- and hexakis-compounds increases as the number of carbon atoms per alkyl chain increases. Seen in the light of the fact that the chains adopt a liquid-like arrangement, this feature means that as the number of chains attached to the triphenylene core increases, the free volume available per chain decreases and therefore the chains try to stretch more. This effect is amplified as the number of carbon atoms per chain increases. In the case of the compound 4k, there are two long chains with  $7$  methylene units and five shorter chains with 4 methylene units. As in the  $Col<sub>h</sub>$  phase, the discs have the freedom to rotate about the column axis, one should expect the disc diameter to be decided by the longest of the seven chains. But from Table 2 we see that the disc diameter is much shorter; smaller than that for even the compound 4c, with five methylene units. This is possible if the free volume available from the neighbouring shorter chains is so large that the longer chains curl up more, thus reducing the disc diameter.

The values obtained for disc stacking distance or the separation between the molecular cores within a column are typical of those seen in other systems exhibiting a  $Col<sub>h</sub>$  phase.<sup>3</sup> The correlation length for the intra-columnar packing, extracted from the sharper reflection at wide angles seems to have a direct correlation with the enthalpy of the Col<sub>h</sub> to isotropic transition. For example, H5TP has the highest transition enthalpy in this group and exhibits a correlation length of  $125 \text{ Å}$ , while the mixed chain compound  $4k$  with the lowest transition enthalpy shows a correlation length of only  $47 \text{ Å}$ . Thus, one can infer that the number of molecules correlated within a column is about three times more in H5TP than in the compound 4k.

b) The esters. The two materials (5b and 5c) under this group are mixed chain compounds with two chains having ester linkages and the other five ether linkages. A comparison of the results for these compounds is possible only with the all-ether linkage materials as the six chain all-ester linkage materials with similar chain length are either non liquid crystalline or exhibit only rectangular columnar phases.<sup>18</sup> It is seen that the value of the disk diameter obtained agrees with the trend described in the previous section, viz., the seven chain materials have a larger value than the six chain ones. In fact, compared to the seven chain all-ether linkage compound 4c, compound 5b shows a slightly larger disc diameter, a smaller core-core separation and a higher correlation length. Such features indicate a better van der Waals interaction between the cores in the mixed ester-ether chain materials than in the all-ether linkage compounds. This is somewhat surprising, as it has been reported that the intra-columnar correlation is much weaker in the ester compounds than in ether compounds.<sup>18</sup> One possible explanation could be that in the mixed ester-ether chain compounds, the molecules are arranged in such a way that the ester groups of the neighbouring cores are staggered with respect to each other, leading to a better packing and therefore a stronger interaction.

## **Conclusions**

We have reported the synthesis of several heptakis-substituted triphenylene derivatives by two different routes. The methodology provides an easy, high yielding process for the preparation of various unsymmetrical, low clearing temperature, broad mesophase triphenylene discotics. It can be extended to other discotic cores.

## Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Professor S. Chandrasekhar for many helpful discussions. We thank Dr R. Shashidhar and Dr B. K. Shadashiva for providing some elemental analyses.

## **References**

- S. Chandrasekhar in Hand Book of Liquid Crystals ed. D. Demus, J. Goodby, G. W. Gray, H.-W. Spiess and V. Vill, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, vol. 2B, Ch. VIII; N. Boden and B. Movaghar, Hand Book of Liquid Crystals, ed. D. Demus, J. Goodby, G. W. Gray, H.-W. Spiess and V. Vill, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, vol. 2B, Ch. IX; ; S. Chandrasekhar and S. Kumar, Science Spectra, 1997, 8, 66.
- A. N. Cammidge and R. J. Bushby in Hand Book of Liquid Crystals ed. D. Demus, J. Goodby, G. W. Gray, H.-W. Spiess and V. Vill, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, vol. 2B, Ch. VII.
- (a) J. W. Goodby, M. Hird, K. J. Toyne and T. Watson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1701; (b) N. Boden, R. J. Bushby and A. N. Cammidge, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 1995, 260, 307;  $(c)$  N. Boden, R. J. Bushby and A. N. Cammidge, Liq. Cryst., 1995, 18, 673; (d) N. Boden, R. J. Bushby, A. N. Cammidge and G. Headdock, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1995, 5, 2275; (e) N. Boden, R. J. Bushby, A. N. Cammidge and G. Headdock, Synthesis, 1995, 31; (f) N. Boden, R. J. Bushby and A. N. Cammidge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 924; (g) N. Boden, R. J. Bushby, A. N. Cammidge, S. Duckworth and G. Headdock, J. Mater. Chem., 1997, 7, 601; (h) N. Boden, R. J. Bushby and Z. B. Lu, Liq. Cryst., 1998, 25, 47;  $(i)$  N. Boden, R. J. Bushby, Z. B. Lu and A. N. Cammidge, Liq. Cryst., 1999, 26, 495.
- 4 (a) F. Closs, L. Häussling, P. Henderson, H. Ringsdorf and P. Schuhmacher, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 829; (b) P. Henderson, H. Ringsdorf and P. Schuhmacher, Liq. Cryst., 1995, 18, 191.
- 5 (a) P. Henderson, S. Kumar, J. A. Rego, H. Ringsdorf and P. Schuhmacher, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1059; (b) J. A. Rego, S. Kumar and H. Ringsdorf, Chem. Mater., 1996, 8, 1402; (c) J. A. Rego, S. Kumar, I. J. Dmochowski and H. Ringsdorf, Chem. Commun., 1996, 1031; (d) S. Kumar, P. Schuhmacher, P. Henderson, J. A. Rego and H. Ringsdorf, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1996, 288, 211; (e) S. Kumar and M. Manickam, Chem. Commun., 1997, 1615; (f) S. Kumar and M. Manickam, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1998, 309, 291; (g) S. Kumar and M. Manickam, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1427; (h) S. Kumar and M. Manickam, Synthesis, 1998, 1119; (i) M. Manickam and S. Kumar, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1999, 326, 165; (j) S. Kumar and M. Manickam, Liq. Cryst., 1999, 26, 939; (k) S. Kumar, M. Manickam, V. S. K. Balagurusamy and H. Schonherr, *Liq. Cryst.*, 1999, **26**, 1455; (*l*) S. Kumar and S. K. Varshney, Liq. Cryst., 1999, 26, 1841; (m) S. Kumar, M. Manickam and H. Schonherr, Liq. Cryst., 1999, 26, 1567.
- 6 K. Praefcke, A. Eckert and D. Blunk, Liq. Cryst., 1997, 22, 113.
- 7 P. T. Wright, I. Gillies and J. D. Kilburn, *Synthesis*, 1997, 1007.<br>8 B. Glusen, A. Kettner and J. H. Wendorff, *Mol. Cryst. Lig. Cryst*. 8 B. Glusen, A. Kettner and J. H. Wendorff, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.,
- 1997, 303, 115; A. Kettner and J. H. Wendorff, Liq. Cryst., 1999, 26, 483.
- 9 B. Rose and H. Meier, Z. Naturforsch, 1998, 53b, 1031.
- 10 D. Stewart, G. S. McHattie and C. T. Imrie, J. Mater. Chem., 1998, 8, 47.
- $(a)$  J. Cross, J. W. Goodby, A. W. Hall, M. Hird, S. M. Kelly, K. J. Toyne and C. Wu, *Liq. Cryst.*, 1998, **25**, 1.
- 12 R. C. Borner and R. F. W. Jackson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 845.
- 13 S. Kumar, D. S. Shankar Rao and S. Krishna Prasad, J. Mater. Chem., 1999, 9, 2751.
- N. H. Tinh, M. C. Bernaud, G. Sigaud and C. Destrade, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1981, 65, 307.
- 15 M. Werth, S. U. Vallerien and H. W. Spiess, Liq. Cryst., 1991, 10, 759.
- 16 L. Calucci, H. Zimmermann, E. J. Wachtel, R. Poupko and Z. Luz, Liq. Cryst., 1997, 22, 621.
- 17 See e.g. X-Ray Diffraction Procedures, H. P. Klug and L. E. Alexander, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1954, ch. 9.
- 18 C. R. Safinya, N. A. Clark, K. S. Liang, W. A. Varady and L. Y. Chiang, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1985, 123, 205.